

Rubric 1: Short Essay
(Analytic Rubric)

Score	Content	Organization	Development	Use of Language
4	Answer is appropriate to the question. Content is factually correct.	Clear sense of order. Begins with a thesis or topic sentence. Supporting points are presented in a logical progression.	Develops each point with many specific details. Answers question completely.	Uses technical or scientific terminology appropriately and correctly. No major grammatical or spelling errors.
3	Answer is appropriate to the question. Content may have one or two factual errors.	May lack a thesis sentence, but points are presented in a logical progression.	Each point supported with some details and evidence. All important points included.	Accurate word choice. No more than 2 major errors and a few minor errors.
2	Content relates peripherally to the question; contains significant factual errors.	Logic of argument is minimally perceivable. Points presented in a seemingly random fashion, but all support argument.	Sparse details or evidence. Question only partially answered.	Ordinary word choice; use of scientific terminology avoided. Some serious errors (but they don't impair communication).
1	Content unrelated to question.	Lacks clear organizational plan. Reader is confused.	Statements are unsupported by any detail or explanation. Repetitious, incoherent, illogical development.	Limited vocabulary; errors impair communication.

Rubric 1: Short Essay
(Analytic Rubric)

Score	Content	Organization	Development	Use of Language
4	Answer is appropriate to the question. Content is factually correct.	Clear sense of order. Begins with a thesis or topic sentence. Supporting points are presented in a logical progression.	Develops each point with many specific details. Answers question completely.	Uses technical or scientific terminology appropriately and correctly. No major grammatical or spelling errors.
3	Answer is appropriate to the question. Content may have one or two factual errors.	May lack a thesis sentence, but points are presented in a logical progression.	Each point supported with some details and evidence. All important points included.	Accurate word choice. No more than 2 major errors and a few minor errors.
2	Content relates peripherally to the question; contains significant factual errors.	Logic of argument is minimally perceivable. Points presented in a seemingly random fashion, but all support argument.	Sparse details or evidence. Question only partially answered.	Ordinary word choice; use of scientific terminology avoided. Some serious errors (but they don't impair communication).
1	Content unrelated to question.	Lacks clear organizational plan. Reader is confused.	Statements are unsupported by any detail or explanation. Repetitious, incoherent, illogical development.	Limited vocabulary; errors impair communication.